

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9391	
10/068,927	02/08/2002	David R. Johnson	T-6069		
75	90 05/02/2003				
James W. Am		EXAMINER			
ChevronTexaco P.O. Box 6006	Corporation	GRIFFIN, WALTER DEAN			
San Ramon, CA 94583-0806			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		

1764 DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3

		Application No	о.	Applicant(s)	710				
		10/068,927	68,927 JOHNSON ET AL.						
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Walter D. Griffi	**	1764					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	95S				
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory mill apply and will expin	wever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABADONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm 0.735.U.S.C. 8.1232	nunication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 February 2002</u>								
2a) ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.									
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
l	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election require	ement.						
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·							
10)⊠ ⊺	he drawing(s) filed on <u>08 February 2002</u> is/are:	a) 🔯 accepted o	or b) objected to l	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic				olication)				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	risional applicati	on has been rece	ived.	piication).				
Attachment(priority under a	5 U.S.C. 99 120 8	#IIU/01 1∠1.					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4)		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-15					
J.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.		on Summary		Part of Paper No. 3					

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 26 objected to because of the following informalities: The expression "one-dimensional pores" is unnecessarily repeated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,927

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 1-3, 10, 11, 13-15, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0323092 A2.

The EP reference discloses a process for converting a product from an F-T process. The process comprises introducing an F-T wax and hydrogen into a hydroisomerization reactor where the F-T wax and hydrogen contact a hydroisomerization catalyst at hydroisomerization conditions. The hydroisomerization catalyst comprises a Group VIII metal such as platinum on a support. The wax would necessarily contain C5+ components. The product from the hydroisomerization reaction zone, which would necessarily have a lowered pour point relative to the feed, is then passed to a hydrofinishing zone operated at hydrofinishing conditions including pressures ranging from 300 to 1500 psi to produce a hydrofinished product. This product is then fractionated to produce various products. See page 4, lines 31-51 and page 6, lines 26-42.

The EP reference does not disclose the collection of the specific products.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the EP reference by recovering the claimed products because the reference discloses broad ranges for the separated fractions and one would recover any fractions within the disclosed broad ranges.

Claims 4-9, 12, 16-21, and 24-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0323092 A2 in view of Miller (US 5,135,638).

The EP reference discloses a process for converting a product from an F-T process. The process comprises introducing an F-T wax and hydrogen into a hydroisomerization reactor where the F-T wax and hydrogen contact a hydroisomerization catalyst at hydroisomerization conditions. The hydroisomerization catalyst comprises a Group VIII metal such as platinum on a

Application/Control Number: 10/068,927

Art Unit: 1764

support. The wax would necessarily contain C5+ components. The product from the hydroisomerization reaction zone, which would necessarily have a lowered pour point relative to the feed, is then passed to a hydrofinishing zone operated at hydrofinishing conditions including pressures ranging from 300 to 1500 psi to produce a hydrofinished product. This product is then fractionated to produce various products. See page 4, lines 31-51 and page 6, lines 26-42.

The EP reference does not disclose the collection of the specific products, does not disclose that the metal in the catalyst is added by non-aqueous addition, and does not disclose the use of intermediate pore zeolites or non-zeolitic molecular sieves.

The Miller reference discloses the hydroisomerization of F-T waxes. The process utilizes catalysts that comprise non-zeolitic molecular sieves such as SAPO-11 and intermediate pore zeolites such as ZSM-22. Hydrogenation metals may be added to the catalysts by the method disclosed in US Patent 3,226,339. This patent discloses the non-aqueous addition of the metals. See col. 2, lines 66-68; col. 3, lines 1-6; col. 4, lines 27-35; col. 6, lines 56-68; col. 7, lines 1-5; col. 10, lines 32-44; and col. 13, lines 1-22. See also col. 7, lines 6-23 in US Patent 3,226,339.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the EP reference by recovering the claimed products because the reference discloses broad ranges for the separated fractions and one would recover any fractions with in the disclosed broad ranges.

It also would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the EP reference by utilizing the catalysts disclosed by Miller including those made by the non-aqueous addition of the hydrogenation

Application/Control Number: 10/068,927 Page 5

Art Unit: 1764

metal in the hydroisomerization step because the use of such catalysts will result in the production of products with reduced pour points.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter D. Griffin whose telephone number is 703-305-3774. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 to 4:00 with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

WG April 30, 2003